

**"STOCK MARKET ANOMALIES AND THE
PRICING OF EQUITY ON THE TOKYO
STOCK EXCHANGE"**

by

Gabriel HAWAWINI*

N° 90/07/FIN/EP

Yamaichi Professor of Finance, Euro-Asia Centre, INSEAD,
Boulevard de Constance, Fontainebleau 77305, France

Printed at INSEAD,
Fontainebleau, France

STOCK MARKET ANOMALIES AND THE
PRICING OF EQUITY ON THE TOKYO STOCK EXCHANGE

Gabriel Hawawini
Yamaichi Professor of Finance
INSEAD, Fontainebleau, France

September 1989

The author wishes to thank Madhavi Vinjamuri and Theresa Alcorn for typing the manuscript and INSEAD for providing financial support under project 2001.

STOCK MARKET ANOMALIES AND THE PRICING OF EQUITY ON THE TOKYO STOCK EXCHANGE

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to examine the relationship between the average return and the risk of a sample of common stocks traded on the Tokyo Stock Exchange (TSE). Specifically, the study addresses the following question: Is the capital asset pricing model (CAPM) a valid predictor of common stock returns on the TSE given that this market exhibits two well-known "anomalies?" These are the size effect and the seasonal behavior of monthly common stock returns. They are both described below following a brief presentation of the CAPM and a review of the first test of that model on the TSE.

According to the CAPM (Sharpe 1964) the expected return of a risky asset i ($E(R_i)$) is equal to the return on a riskfree asset (R_F) plus a risk premium equal to the expected return on the market portfolio in excess of the riskfree rate ($E(R_m) - R_F$) multiplied by the relative risk (or beta coefficient) of asset i (β_i). We can write this equilibrium pricing equation as:

$$E(R_i) = R_F + [E(R_m) - R_F] \cdot \beta_i , \quad (1)$$

where the market portfolio is a portfolio that contains all outstanding assets in proportion to their market value. The beta coefficient of asset i is the risk of that asset relative to the risk of the market portfolio. It is a measure of the "market," or systematic, risk of asset i . Risk not related to the market, or unsystematic risk, is assumed to be eliminated through portfolio diversification. It is thus not priced in the market and hence does not appear in the equilibrium pricing equation.

The first test of the CAPM on the TSE was performed by Lau, Quay and Ramsey (1974). They estimated the cross sectional relationship between the average monthly return of 10 portfolios and their corresponding beta coefficient over the 5-year period from October 1964 to September 1969. They found a positive and linear relationship between average portfolio returns and betas and concluded that the CAPM is applicable on the TSE.

Is this conclusion still valid in light of the size effect and the seasonal behavior of monthly common stock returns? The size effect refers to the observed inverse relationship between common stock returns and firms' size (measured by the market value of firms' shares outstanding): small-capitalization firms seem to earn, on average, excess returns after controlling for the difference in systematic risk that may exist between small and large firms. This phenomenon, which is inconsistent with equity pricing according to the CAPM, has been observed in most stock markets around the world (Hawawini (1988)). Evidence of its existence on the TSE has been documented by Nakamura and Terada (1984), Kato and Schallheim (1985) and others.

The other so-called "anomaly" is the seasonal behavior of monthly returns: most stock market indices exhibit, on average, higher returns in January than during the other months of the year. Nakamura and Terada (1984) as well as Kato and Schallheim (1985) report a significant "January effect" on the TSE. There are no definitive explanations of these two phenomena. We do know, however, that they are related. Most of the excess return earned by small firms on the TSE occurs during the month of January (see Nakamura and Terada 1984).

Seasonality and size have also been shown to affect the estimated CAPM-risk premium, that is, the estimated slope of the equilibrium pricing equation

(1). In other words, not only do common stock returns exhibit monthly seasonality but so does the entire risk-return relationship. This phenomenon has been documented by Tinic and West (1984) and (1986) in the U.S. equity market, by Tinic and Barone-Adesi (1988) in the Canadian equity market and by Corhay, Hawawini and Michel (1987) in the Belgian, French and U.K. equity markets.

In what follows we examine the seasonal behavior of the risk-return relationship on the TSE and show that it displays a significant monthly seasonality pattern. The next section describes the data and the methodology we employ to carry out our empirical tests. Section 3 presents evidence of seasonality in the estimated risk premia inferred from alternative models of equity pricing. Section 4 reports evidence of a size effect and shows that it displays monthly seasonality. Section 5 re-examines the validity of the CAPM in light of seasonality and the size effect and looks at the implications for portfolio management. Section 6 presents evidence indicating that risk does not exhibit seasonality. Section 7 contains the concluding remarks.

2. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Data

The data on monthly common stock returns is extracted from a tape compiled by the Japanese Securities Research Institute. Monthly returns for common stocks listed on the first section of the TSE begin on January 1955 and end on December 1985, providing a maximum of 372 consecutive monthly returns per common stock. The number of firms in the sample varies from a minimum of 373 (which traded continuously from January 1955 to December 1985) to a maximum of 566 (which traded continuously from January 1970 to December 1985). Monthly returns are adjusted for all changes in capitalization including dividend payments.

Two market indexes are calculated. A value-weighted index of all firms in the sample and an equally-weighted index of all firms in the sample. Their seasonal behavior is reported in Table 1 and discussed in Section 3.7.

2.2 Methodology

The methodology employed to test the validity of the CAPM is similar to that found in Fama and MacBeth (1973) and Banz (1981). It allows us to test the CAPM as a predictive model of portfolios' returns instead of a descriptive model of portfolios' returns. Note that the early test of the CAPM on the TSE performed by Lau et al (1974) and reported above, is of the second type: descriptive rather than predictive. They examined the relationship between average portfolio returns and beta coefficients estimated over the same sample period. A test of the predictive power of the CAPM should examine the relationship between the beta coefficients estimated over a given period and the return of portfolios realized during a subsequent period. With such a procedure one can find out, for example, if a strategy that consists in holding a high-beta portfolio constructed in period 1 yields a higher than average return in period 2.

To test the CAPM as a predictive model of portfolios' returns, we proceeded as follows. An initial period of two years of monthly returns is used to construct portfolios on the basis of size and risk (2-year construction period). The following three years of monthly returns are employed to estimate the risk of the portfolios constructed over the previous 2-year period (3-year risk estimation period). Finally, the examination of the risk-return relationship and the investigation of seasonality and size effects are performed over the sixth year of data (1-year test period). The entire procedure is then repeated after dropping the first year of data. The approach is explained below in more detail.

2.3 Portfolio construction

The first 2 years of monthly returns (1955 and 1956) are used to construct five equally-weighted portfolios ranked according to size (market capitalization). Each one of these size portfolios is then divided into four subportfolios ranked according to the magnitude of their beta coefficient. This method yields a total of twenty equally weighted portfolios constructed according to size and risk.

2.4 Risk estimation

The following 3 years of monthly returns (1957 to 1959) are used to estimate the risk of each stock in the sample. Two measures of risk are considered: systematic risk (beta coefficient) and unsystematic risk. They are both estimated using the following regression (known as the market model--see Fama (1976)):

$$\tilde{R}_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i \cdot \tilde{R}_{mt} + \tilde{e}_{it} \quad (2)$$

where the beta coefficient is the estimated slope coefficient β_i and unsystematic risk is the standard error of the residual returns ($\sigma(\tilde{e}_{it})$). Both risk measures are estimated either with the equally-weighted index or the value-weighted index (\tilde{R}_{mt}). The risk of the portfolios is calculated by taking the arithmetic average of the risk of the individual securities that make up the portfolio.

2.5 Model testing

Finally, the sixth-year of monthly returns (1960) is used to estimate the following five pricing models:

$$R_{Pt} = \gamma_{0t} + \gamma_{1t} \cdot \beta_{p,t-1} + \mu_{p,t} \quad (3)$$

$$R_{pt} = \gamma'_{0t} + \gamma'_{1t} \cdot \beta_{p,t-1} + \gamma_{2t} \cdot \beta_{p,t-1}^2 + \gamma_{3t} \cdot \sigma(e)_{p,t-1} + \mu'_{p,t} \quad (4)$$

$$R_{pt} = \gamma_{0t}^s + \gamma_{4t} \cdot \left[\frac{V_p - V_m}{V_m} \right]_{t-1} + \mu_{p,t}^s \quad (5)$$

$$R_{pt} = \gamma_{0t}'' + \gamma_{1t}'' \cdot \beta_{p,t-1} + \gamma_{4t}'' \cdot \left[\frac{V_p - V_m}{V_m} \right]_{t-1} + \mu_{p,t}'' \quad (6)$$

$$R_{pt} = \gamma_{0t}^* + \gamma_{1t}^* \cdot \beta_{p,t-1} + \gamma_{2t}^* \cdot \beta_{p,t-1}^2 + \gamma_{3,t}^* \cdot \sigma(e)_{p,t-1} + \gamma_{4t}^* \cdot \left[\frac{V_p - V_m}{V_m} \right]_{t-1} + \mu_{p,t}^* \quad (7)$$

where:

- R_{pt} = realized return of portfolio p in month t;
- γ_{1t} = systematic risk premium in month t;
- γ_{3t} = unsystematic risk premium in month t;
- γ_{4t} = size premium in month t;
- $\beta_{p,t-1}$ = beta of portfolio p estimated with regression (2) over the 36-month estimation period ending in the calendar year preceding month t and updated yearly;
- $\sigma(e)_{p,t-1}$ = unsystematic risk of portfolio p estimated with regression (2) over the 36-month estimation period ending in the calendar year preceding month t and updated yearly;
- $\left[\frac{V_p - V_m}{V_m} \right]$ = size portfolio relative to the size of the market at the end of the calendar year preceding month t and updated yearly.

Regression (3) is the standard two-parameter capital asset pricing model (Sharpe (1964); Fama (1976)) according to which security holders are compensated only for bearing systematic risk with a linear risk-return relationship.

Regression (4) is the Fama-MacBeth (1973) version of a four-parameter capital asset pricing model. This specification provides a direct test of the linearity assumption (if the risk-return relationship is linear γ_{2t} should be statistically equal to zero) as well as a direct test of the marginal

contribution of unsystematic risk to asset pricing (if unsystematic risk is not priced in the market γ_{3t} should be statistically equal to zero).

Regression (5) is run to determine if there is a size premium on the TSE. If portfolios constructed according to relative size at the end of a calendar year have different average returns over the following year, then γ_{4t} will be statistically different from zero. If portfolios of smaller firms outperform portfolios of larger firms γ_{4t} will be negative.

Regression (6) allows us to examine the combined effect of systematic risk and size on average returns. If γ_{4t}'' is significantly negative, there is a size effect on the TSE even after controlling for the difference in risk than may exist between small and large firms.

Finally, regression (7) is the four-parameter capital asset pricing model in which we have added a size variable.

2.6 Model validity and seasonal behavior

For each month of the 12-month test period, we calculate the realized return of each one of the 20 portfolios (R_{pt}). These 20 portfolio returns are then cross sectionally regressed on beta (regression (3)); beta, beta squared and unsystematic risk (regression (4)); size (regression (5)); beta and size (regression (6)); and beta, beta squared, unsystematic risk and size (regression (7)). From the 12 monthly cross-sectional regressions we get 12 monthly estimates of the risk premia γ_1 , γ_1' , γ_1'' , γ_1^* , γ_2 , γ_2^* , γ_3 and γ_3^* and the size premia γ_4 , γ_4'' and γ_4^* .

The entire procedure is then repeated using the second and third years of monthly returns (1956 and 1957) to construct portfolios, the fourth, fifth and sixth years of monthly returns (1958 to 1960) to estimate risk, and the seventh year of monthly returns (1961) to estimate the monthly relationships between realized returns, risk and size. Dropping one year of early data and

adding a new one to estimate the risk and size premia, we kept on repeating the entire procedure until we reached the last year of data, 1985. This approach provides a total of 312 monthly estimates of risk and size premia; 26 estimates for each of the 12 months of the year (from January 1960 to December 1985). By examining the average value of the estimated monthly risk and size premia either over the entire period or over specific months of the year, we can test the pricing models (3) to (7) and find out whether these premia exhibit monthly seasonality.

2.7 Seasonality in the monthly returns of the market indexes

As mentioned earlier, in this study we used two proxies for the market: an equally-weighted index (EWI) and a value-weighted index (VWI). The average monthly returns for these two indexes is reported in Table 1. When average returns are calculated over the entire 372 months, the EWI has a monthly return of 1.60% and the VWI a monthly return of 1.84%. Both are significantly different from zero at the 5% level, but they are not significantly different from each other. When average returns are calculated over each of the 12 months of the year, we notice that returns exhibit monthly seasonality.

Average returns are the highest during January and higher for the EWI than for the VWI. Higher January return for the EWI than for the VWI is the manifestation of the January size premium. Since the EWI gives a relatively larger weight to smaller firms than does the VWI, the latter will exhibit a higher return than the former during January, a month where small firms outperform their larger counterparts. Note that market returns are also significantly positive in March, June, September and December. These are the last months of the first, second, third and fourth quarter of the year, respectively. This quarterly seasonality may reflect the activity of institutional investors who tend to rebalance their portfolios every quarter.

TABLE 1

Average Monthly Returns on a Portfolio Containing All the Stocks in the Sample
(from 373 to 566 stocks) Over the Period January 1955 - December 1985

Average Monthly Return Over	Sample Size	<u>Equally-Weighted Portfolio</u>		<u>Value-Weighted Portfolio</u>	
		Average Return	t-statistics	Average Return	t-statistics
All Months	372	1.60% ^a	6.40	1.84%	7.55
All Months but January	341	1.24%	4.75	1.61%	6.22
January	31	5.58%	13.17	4.36%	9.85
February	31	1.00%	1.85	0.99%	1.73
March	31	2.90%	3.77	3.85%	4.48
April	31	0.51%	0.57	1.01%	1.23
May	31	0.18%	0.20	0.86%	0.91
June	31	2.51%	4.59	2.43%	4.09
July	31	0.65%	0.75	0.44%	0.51
August	31	0.92%	0.85	1.05%	1.09
September	31	0.13%	0.17	1.28%	1.85
October	31	0.52%	0.48	0.49%	0.56
November	31	1.81%	1.76	2.42%	2.27
December	31	2.48%	3.02	2.87%	3.25

a. Average return significantly different from zero at the 5 percent level.

3. EVIDENCE OF MONTHLY RISK-PREMIA SEASONALITY

3.1 Systematic risk-premia

The average values of the estimated coefficients of regression (3) are reported in Table 2. The third and fourth columns give the average values of the coefficients in the case where the beta coefficients are estimated using a value-weighted index in the market model (regression (2)). The last two columns give the average values of the coefficients in the case where the beta coefficients are estimated using an equally-weighted index in the market model (regression (12)).

We observe the following:

(1) When all 312 months are considered (from January 1960 to 1985) the relationship between average portfolio returns and estimated systematic risk is not significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level, irrespective of whether the index is equally-weighted or value-weighted.

(2) When the year is split in two parts, that is, the month of January and the rest of the year, another picture emerges: the relationship between average portfolio returns and estimated systematic risk is significantly positive in January and significantly negative during the rest of the year.

(3) When the value-weighted index is used to estimate systematic risk, the systematic risk premium is significantly negative in February. When the equally-weighted index is used to estimate systematic risk, the systematic risk premium is significantly positive in June and July and significantly negative in September. The negative September effect outweighs the positive June and July effects resulting in a negative risk-return relationship over the 11 months from February to December.

We have a clear seasonality pattern in the estimated risk-return relationship on the TSE. This phenomenon is similar to that observed in the

TABLE 2

Average Values of the Fama-MacBeth Estimates of the Intercept (γ_0) and Slope (γ_1) Coefficients of the Two-Parameter Model^a:

$$R_{pt} = \gamma_{0t} + \gamma_{1t} \cdot \beta_{p,t-1} + \mu_{p,t}$$

Average Over	Sample Size	Value-Weighted Index ^b		Equally-Weighted Index ^c	
		$\bar{\gamma}_0$	$\bar{\gamma}_1$	$\bar{\gamma}_0$	$\bar{\gamma}_1$
All months	312	0.0150 ^d 4.90	-0.0046 1.45	0.0109 3.63	0.0002 0.05
All months but January	286	0.0138 4.28	-0.0070 2.14	0.0119 3.78	-0.0047 1.86
January	26	0.0279 3.00	0.0220 2.09	-0.0009 0.10	0.0543 5.36
February	26	0.0207 2.79	-0.0185 2.22	0.0073 0.63	-0.0029 0.28
March	26	0.0261 3.01	0.0016 0.14	0.0247 2.24	0.0005 0.05
April	26	0.0061 0.45	-0.0082 -0.64	-0.0011 0.12	-0.0007 0.06
May	26	0.0014 0.15	-0.0041 0.40	0.0119 1.43	-0.0127 1.21
June	26	0.0226 2.33	-0.0011 0.10	0.0035 0.40	0.0195 1.92
July	26	0.0121 1.28	-0.0109 0.97	-0.0168 -1.90	0.0220 2.15
August	26	0.0015 0.15	0.0002 0.01	0.0104 1.29	-0.0102 -0.74
September	26	0.0130 1.21	0.0158 1.56	0.0276 3.72	-0.0318 4.15
October	26	-0.0022 0.15	0.0001 0.01	-0.0021 0.20	-0.0008 0.06
November	26	0.0359 4.14	-0.0249 0.83	0.0351 2.24	-0.0224 1.52
December	26	0.0150 4.66	0.0054 1.63	0.0308 2.93	0.0128 1.24

- Estimated with monthly data from January 1955 to December 1985.
- Beta coefficients estimated with a value-weighted index of all stocks in the sample.
- Beta coefficients estimated with an equally-weighted index of all stocks in the sample.
- Absolute values of t-statistics are below the corresponding average values. Framed coefficients are significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level.

United States (Tinic and West (1984)), Canada (Tinic and Barone-Adesi (1988)) and Europe (Corhay, Hawawini and Michel (1987)). We do not have an explanation of this phenomenon. We should note, however, that it is not the manifestation of the monthly seasonality in the returns of the market indexes. Indeed, the pattern of monthly return seasonality in the market indexes reported in Table 1, does not correspond with the pattern of monthly return seasonality in the systematic risk premium reported in Table 2, although the month of January has the highest estimates in both cases.

3.2 Linearity and the pricing of unsystematic risk

The average values of the estimated coefficients of regression (4) are reported in Table 3 with risk estimated using the value-weighted index. Qualitatively similar results were obtained with the equally-weighted index.

A look at the results reported in Table 3 shows that when unsystematic risk is introduced as an independent variable in the regression, systematic risk (beta) is no longer priced. Over the entire period, neither beta nor unsystematic risk are related to average portfolio returns. But during the months of January and June, portfolios with high levels of unsystematic risk tend to earn higher returns. The opposite occurs during the month of September where the relationship between average portfolio returns and unsystematic is negative.

The results reported in Table 3 provide no support for the CAPM as a predictor of common stock returns on the TSE: systematic risk is not priced, and unsystematic risk is priced during some months of the year. We now turn to the size effect and its implication for equity pricing on the TSE.

TABLE 3

Average values of the Fama-MacBeth estimates of the coefficients of the four-parameter model^a:

$$R_{pt} = \gamma_0' t + \gamma_1' t \cdot \delta_{p,t-1} + \gamma_2' t \cdot \delta_{p,t-1}^2 + \gamma_3' t \cdot \sigma(e)_{p,t-1} + u_{p,t}'$$

Average Over	Sample Size	$\bar{\gamma}_0'$ (Intercept)	$\bar{\gamma}_1'$ (Systematic Risk)	$\bar{\gamma}_2'$ (Systematic Risk) ²	$\bar{\gamma}_3'$ (Unsystematic Risk)
All months	312	0.0078 1.78	-0.0029 0.30	-0.0011 0.23	0.0947 1.56
All months but January	286	0.0114 ^b 2.55	-0.0042 0.43	-0.0002 0.03	0.0017 0.03
January	26	-0.0315 1.75	0.0117 0.31	-0.0110 0.58	1.1176 4.62
February	26	0.0037 0.26	-0.0067 0.36	-0.0014 0.17	0.0504 0.337
March	26	0.0033 2.25	-0.0066 0.22	0.0062 0.41	-0.1104 0.57
April	26	-0.0123 1.11	0.0206 0.71	-0.0106 0.71	0.0102 0.051
May	26	0.0134 1.29	-0.0087 0.33	0.0084 0.85	-0.2281 1.21
June	26	0.0012 0.07	0.0082 0.228	-0.0104 0.61	0.3540 2.13
July	26	0.0087 0.56	-0.0479 1.28	0.0179 0.95	0.2259 1.43
August	26	-0.0096 0.79	0.0156 0.53	-0.0097 0.68	0.1769 0.80
September	26	0.0371 4.82	-0.0058 0.23	0.0025 0.18	-0.4900 3.24
October	26	0.0004 0.02	0.0274 0.58	0.0118 0.52	0.1914 0.75
November	26	0.0167 0.84	0.0397 0.92	-0.0315 1.48	-0.0694 0.28
December	26	0.0359 2.40	-0.0269 1.15	0.0152 1.14	-0.0921 0.53

a. Estimated with monthly data from January 1955 to December 1985 with the independent variables estimated with a value-weighted index of all stocks in the sample.

b. Absolute values of t-statistics are below the corresponding average values. Framed coefficients are significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level.

4. THE SIZE EFFECT AND ITS SEASONAL BEHAVIOR

4.1 Size premia

Is there a size effect on the TSE and is it seasonal? The answer is found in Table 4 where the average values of the estimated coefficients of regression (5) are reported using the value-weighted index. When all months are considered, there is no significant size effect. The month-to-month results, however, reveal another picture. There is a significant size effect during the months of January, June and July but it is strongest during January. For September we have a reverse size effect: large firms outperform their smaller counterparts.

Two observations should be made. First, the absence of a size effect when all months are considered may be due to the fact that our sample tends to be biased toward larger firms. Our largest number of firms is 566 which are concentrated among the top third of all firms listed on the first section of the TSE. Despite this bias toward large firms, we do report a significant January risk premium. Second, note that two of the months where we report a size effect (January and June), are the two months where the unsystematic risk premium is positive, and the month where we report a reverse size effect (September), is the month where the unsystematic risk premium is negative. In other words, unsystematic risk and firm size are inversely correlated (the smaller the firm, the higher its unsystematic risk), and one variable may act as a proxy for the other. Which is a proxy for the other will be examined in section 6.

4.2 Risk-adjusted size premia

The size premia reported in Table 4 do not adjust portfolio returns for differences in systematic risk. This adjustment is done in regression (6)

TABLE 4

Average values of the estimated intercept and slope coefficients of the regression:

$$R_{pt} = \gamma_{0t}^s + \gamma_{4t}^s \cdot \left[\frac{V_p - V_m}{V_m} \right]_{t-1} + u_{p,t}^s$$

where V_p and V_m are the market values of portfolio p and the market, respectively.

Average Over	Sample Size	$\bar{\gamma}_0^s$ (Intercept)	$\bar{\gamma}_4$ (Relative Size)
All months	312	0.0476 1.41	-0.0010 1.16
All months but January	286	-0.0058 0.17	0.0003 0.35
January	26	0.6359 ^a 5.96	-0.0160 5.52
February	26	0.0930 0.98	-0.0024 0.94
March	26	-0.0621 0.52	0.0022 0.69
April	26	-0.0775 0.59	0.0021 0.59
May	26	-0.0846 0.84	0.0024 0.88
June	26	0.1878 2.13	-0.0045 1.98
July	26	0.2236 2.54	-0.0060 2.52
August	25	0.0003 0.00	-0.0002 0.05
September	26	-0.2468 3.17	0.0067 3.26
October	26	-0.0186 0.12	0.0005 0.11
November	26	-0.0645 0.47	0.0021 0.57
December	26	-0.0148 0.16	0.0008 0.31

- Estimated with monthly data and a value-weighted index from January to December.
- Absolute values of t-statistics are below the corresponding average values. Framed coefficients are significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level.

whose average estimated coefficients are reported in Table 5. Here, January emerges as the only month of the year where average returns are directly related to systematic risk and inversely related to firm size (we do not report in Table 5 the results for each of the 11 months from February to December because they are all insignificant.)

The above results imply that a high-risk, small-capitalization portfolio will outperform any other portfolio and particularly so during the month of January. Indeed, the results in Table 7 show that the smallest portfolio in our sample with the highest beta (it has a size 5,337 millions of Yens and a beta of 1,648) earned an average monthly return of 11.65% during January over the 26-year period from January 1960 to December 1985. The results reported in Table 7 will be discussed further in Section 7.

5. SEASONALITY, SIZE EFFECT AND EQUITY PRICING ON THE TSE

We have seen that average portfolio returns are directly related to systematic risk and inversely related to size during the month of January only. During the other 11 months of the year, and over the entire sample period, there is no significant relationship between average portfolio returns on one hand and systematic risk and size on the other.

Is this conclusion modified where we introduce unsystematic risk as a variable in the regression? The answer is found in Table 6 where the average values of the coefficients of regression (7) are reported. Again, January emerges as the only month of the year where average portfolio returns are directly related to systematic risk and inversely related to size.

Unsystematic risk is no longer priced, and the relationship between average portfolio returns and systematic risk is linear. Note, however, that there is still a pure size effect during the month of July.

TABLE 5

Average values of the estimated intercept and the slope coefficients of the regression:

$$R_{pt} = \gamma_{0t}'' + \gamma_{1t}'' \cdot \beta_{p,t-1} + \gamma_{4t}'' \cdot \left[\frac{V_p - V_m}{V_m} \right]_{t-1} + \mu_{p,t}'' ,$$

where V_p and V_m are the market value of portfolio p and the market, respectively.^a

Average Over	Sample Size	$\bar{\gamma}_0''$ (Intercept)	$\bar{\gamma}_1''$ (Systematic Risk)	$\bar{\gamma}_4''$ (Size)
All months	312	0.0518 1.53	-0.0030 0.99	-0.0011 1.20
All months but January	286	0.0046 0.14	-0.0048 1.52	0.0002 0.17
January	26	0.5715 4.87 ^b	0.0171 2.01	-0.0148 4.60

- a. Estimated with monthly data from January 1955 to December 1985 with systematic risk (beta coefficient) estimated with a value-weighted index of all stocks in the sample.
- b. Absolute values of t-statistics are below the corresponding average values. Framed coefficients are significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level.

TABLE 6

Average values of the estimated intercept and slope coefficients of the regression:

$$R_{pt} = \gamma_{0t}^* + \gamma_{1t}^* \cdot \beta_{p,t-1} + \gamma_{2t}^* \cdot \beta_{p,t-1}^2 + \gamma_{3t}^* \cdot \sigma(e)_{p,t-1} + \gamma_{4t}^* \cdot \left[\frac{V_p - V_m}{V_m} \right]_{t-1} + u_{p,t}^*,$$

where V_p and V_m are the market value of portfolio p and the market, respectively.^a

Average Over	Sample Size	$\bar{\gamma}_0^*$ (Intercept)	$\bar{\gamma}_1^*$ (Systematic Risk)	$\bar{\gamma}_2^*$ (Systematic Risk) ²	$\bar{\gamma}_3^*$ (Unsystematic Risk)	$\bar{\gamma}_4^*$ (Size)
All months	312	0.0427 0.99	-0.0055 0.61	0.0011 0.25	0.0205 0.33	-0.0008 0.74
All months but January	286	0.0086 0.19	-0.0087 0.94	0.0023 0.50	-0.0112 0.17	0.0001 0.09
January	26	0.4171 ^b 2.89	0.0293 2.10	-0.0122 0.67	0.0369 0.56	-0.0111 2.95
February	26	0.1499 0.93	0.0137 0.66	-0.0070 0.74	-0.2521 1.11	-0.0039 0.93
March	26	0.0109 0.09	-0.0055 0.16	0.0051 0.30	-0.0942 0.49	0.0004 0.13
April	26	-0.1819 1.24	-0.0104 0.38	0.0062 0.46	0.3179 2.22	0.0044 1.16
May	26	-0.0945 0.68	-0.0331 1.89	0.0176 1.76	-0.0138 0.08	0.0030 0.86
June	26	0.1512 1.13	0.0087 0.26	-0.0066 0.40	0.0591 0.30	-0.0037 1.09
July	26	0.3033 2.51	-0.0361 1.04	0.0202 1.03	-0.1551 0.75	-0.0073 2.47
August	26	-0.1547 0.99	0.0046 0.21	-0.0106 0.89	0.2751 1.21	0.0037 0.95
September	26	-0.1298 0.96	-0.0231 0.95	0.0055 0.40	-0.1523 0.94	0.0042 1.27
October	26	0.0207 0.11	-0.0212 0.43	0.0083 0.36	0.1217 0.47	-0.0004 0.08
November	26	-0.1307 0.82	0.0186 0.51	-0.0250 1.25	0.1490 0.54	0.0038 0.91
December	26	0.1477 0.92	-0.0091 0.40	0.0121 0.89	-0.3785 1.51	-0.0032 0.76

a. Estimated with monthly data from January 1955 to December 1985 with the independent variables estimated with a value-weighted index of all stocks in the sample.

b. Absolute values of t-statistics are below the corresponding average values. Framed coefficients are significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level.

What can we conclude from these results? The CAPM is obviously not a valid predictor of common stock returns on the TSE unless we make an adjustment for size and limit ourselves to predicting returns during the month of January. During the other 11 months of the year, the CAPM is not a useful tool to predict common stock returns of the TSE.

6. DO RISK MEASURES EXHIBIT A JANUARY SEASONAL?

The return on common stocks is higher in certain months of the year because their risk may be higher during those months. Also, the seasonal behavior of the risk-return relationship may be partly due to the fact that we do not measure risk during particular months of the year. The results presented in the previous sections are based on measures of risk estimated over a 3-year period. These estimated risk-measures were then regressed against realized returns during particular months of the year. In other words, we explicitly recognize the seasonal behavior of returns but ignore the possibility that risk may also exhibit a monthly seasonal behavior.

In order to find out whether measures of risk display monthly seasonality on the TSE, we estimated the systematic risk of common stocks using only a particular month of the year instead of 36 consecutive months. For example, to estimate the January beta of common stock i we regressed the 31 January returns of that common stock (from January 1955 to January 1985) against the 31 January returns of the value-weighted index. This was done for the subsample of firms for which we had 31 years of consecutive trading. One drawback of this method is that 31 years is too long a period and hence the assumption that a firm's beta is stable over the estimation period may be violated. We have also estimated betas over the 15 most recent years (thus reducing the estimation by half). Reducing the estimation period did not significantly modify the results presented in Table 7.

Table 7 gives several characteristics of 20 portfolios constructed on the basis of size and systematic risk (beta). The securities in our sample were first used to construct 5 size portfolios (group 1 to group 5 in the first column of Table 7). Each size portfolio was then divided into 4 risk portfolios according to their magnitude of beta (see the third column in Table 7). For a given size we have a wide range of portfolio betas from about 0.20 to about 1.70 (see the third column in Table 7). The fifth column in Table 7 gives the estimated January beta for the portfolio. We do not report the estimated betas for the other 11 months of the year but we have found that they are not significantly different from January betas. Also, January betas do not significantly differ from all-month betas. Note that large portfolios tend to have higher January betas than all-month betas, and small portfolios tend to have lower January betas than all-month betas. Turning to the variance of portfolio returns, note that the January variance generally differs from the all-month variance, but the differences are not significant (the statistical tests are not reported in Table 7). It seems that the January seasonal exhibited by common stock returns and estimated risk premia cannot be explained by differences between January risk-measures and all-month risk-measures.

Finally, recall our earlier observation: the small portfolio (5,337 millions of Yens) with the highest beta (1,648) achieved the highest return during the month of January (a monthly return of 11.65%). This relatively large January return cannot be explained by higher January risk for smaller firms. Indeed, the January beta of the small-size, high-risk portfolios is actually smaller than the all-month beta (1.284 compared to 1.648).

TABLE 7
Risk and Return Characteristics of 20 Portfolios Partitioned According to Size (Market Value)
and Systematic Risk (Beta): January versus All Months
(January 1955 - December 1985)

Portfolio Size in Million \$	Number of Stocks in Portfolio	Estimated Systematic Risk (Beta)				Return Variance		Average Monthly Return			
		All Months	t-stat.	January	t-stat.	All Months	January	All Months	t-stat.	January	t-stat.
Group 1											
178735	29	1.692	53.96	1.920	8.11	0.0069	0.0031	2.16%	4.95	5.82%	5.74
174412	29	1.072	46.10	1.453	7.27	0.0029	0.0019	1.36%	4.81	3.52%	4.42
156259	28	0.681	29.47	0.631	2.99	0.0014	0.0010	1.46%	7.42	2.65%	4.66
141787	28	0.260	9.31	0.143	0.76 ^a	0.0007	0.0006	1.10%	7.69	1.58%	3.54
Group 2											
48791	29	1.660	38.71	1.655	4.77	0.0073	0.0036	1.98%	4.41	6.97%	6.37
48753	28	1.068	41.01	0.745	4.11	0.0029	0.0009	1.07%	3.73	4.09%	7.60
49791	28	0.673	24.97	0.504	3.03	0.0015	0.0006	1.20%	5.83	2.95%	6.57
48304	28	0.197	5.79	-0.288	1.29 ^a	0.0010	0.0009	1.15%	7.00	1.81%	3.36
Group 3											
24655	29	1.713	36.40	1.475	4.32	0.0079	0.0032	1.76%	3.75	8.83%	8.54
24384	28	1.090	31.08	0.933	4.00	0.0035	0.0014	0.97%	7.55	5.14%	7.50
24936	28	0.691	21.52	0.423	2.28	0.0069	0.0007	1.15%	5.15	3.97%	8.37
24560	28	0.198	4.92	-0.034	0.11 ^a	0.0029	0.0014	1.02%	5.31	2.79%	4.07
Group 4											
12950	29	1.676	30.73	1.187	3.27	0.0014	0.0030	1.56%	3.25	10.09%	10.08
12611	28	1.096	24.93	0.646	2.36	0.0007	0.0015	0.94%	2.82	6.37%	9.07
12577	28	0.734	17.37	0.294	1.16 ^a	0.0073	0.0011	0.87%	3.31	4.66%	7.66
12720	28	0.250	5.15	-0.429	1.60 ^a	0.0029	0.0013	1.08%	4.65	2.96%	4.50
Group 5											
5337	29	1.648	24.38	1.284	2.47	0.0015	0.0054	1.20%	2.37	11.65%	7.94
4890	28	1.069	18.88	0.529	1.47 ^a	0.0010	0.0023	0.64%	1.94	8.11%	9.26
4944	28	0.711	13.30	0.184	0.61 ^a	0.0079	0.0015	0.60%	2.00	5.78%	8.09
4664	29	0.204	3.50	-0.298	1.07 ^a	0.0035	0.0013	0.87%	3.19	3.85%	5.77

a. Estimated beta coefficient not significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level.

7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

For this study, we have examined the relationship between the average return and the risk of portfolios of common stocks traded on the TSE. We wanted to find out whether the CAPM is a valid predictor of common stock returns in light of the seasonal and size anomalies. We have shown that the CAPM does not provide a valid framework to predict common stock returns on the TSE, unless we make an adjustment for firms' size and limit ourselves to predicting returns during the month of January. During the other 11 months of the year, the CAPM fails to predict common stock returns on the TSE. Why this is so and are there alternative models to predict common stock returns on the TSE are questions for further research on the pricing of Japanese equity.

REFERENCES

- Banz, R. (1981) "The relationship between return and market value of common stocks," Journal of Financial Economics, 9 (June), 3-18.
- Corhay, A., G. Hawawini and P. Michel (1987) "Seasonality in the risk-return relationship: Some international evidence", Journal of Finance, 42 (March), 49-68.
- Fama, E. (1976) Foundations of Finance, Basic Books: New York.
- Fama, E. and J. MacBeth (1973) "Risk, return and equilibrium: Empirical tests," Journal of Political Economy, 71 (May/June), 607-636.
- Hawawini, G. (1988) "Market efficiency and equity pricing: International evidence and implications for global investing" in P. Aron (ed.) Global Investing: A Guide to International Security Analysis and Portfolio Management, John Wiley: New York.
- Kato, K. and J. Schallheim (1985) "Seasonal and size anomalies in the Japanese stock market," Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 20 (June), 243-260.
- Lau, S., S. Quay and C. Ramsey (1974) "The Tokyo stock exchange and the capital asset pricing model", Journal of Finance, 28 (May), 507-514.
- Nakamura, T. and N. Terada (1984) "The size effect and seasonality in Japanese stock returns" unpublished manuscript (Institute for Quantitative Research in Finance).
- Sharpe, W. (1964) "Capital asset prices: A theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk," Journal of Finance, 19 (September), 425-442.
- Tinic, S. and G. Barone-Adesi (1988) "Stock return seasonality and the tests of asset pricing models: Canadian evidence" in E. Dimson (ed.) Stock Market Anomalies, Cambridge University Press.
- Tinic, S. and R. West (1984) "Risk and return: January versus the rest of the year," Journal of Financial Economics, 13 (December), 561-574.
- Tinic, S. and R. West (1986) "Risk, return and equilibrium: A revisit," Journal of Political Economy, 94 (February), 126-147.

INSEAD WORKING PAPERS SERIES

<u>1986</u>			86/16	B. Espen ECKBO and Hervig M. LANGOHR	"Les prises des offres publiques, la note d'information et le marché des transferts de contrôle des sociétés".
86/01	Arnoud DE MEYER	"The R & D/Production interface".	86/17	David B. JEMISON	"Strategic capability transfer in acquisition integration", May 1986.
86/02	Philippe A. NAERT Marcel VEYERBERGH and Guido VERSWIJVEL	"Subjective estimation in integrating communication budget and allocation decisions: a case study", January 1986.	86/18	James TEBOUL and V. MALLERET	"Towards an operational definition of services", 1986.
86/03	Michael BRIMM	"Sponsorship and the diffusion of organizational innovations: a preliminary view".	86/19	Rob R. VEITZ	"Nostradamus: a knowledge-based forecasting advisor".
86/04	Spyros MAKRIDAKIS and Michèle BIBON	"Confidence intervals: an empirical investigation for the series in the M- Competition".	86/20	Albert CORHAY, Gabriel BAVAVINI and Pierre A. MICHEL	"The pricing of equity on the London stock exchange: seasonality and size premium", June 1986.
86/05	Charles A. VYPLOSZ	"A note on the reduction of the workweek", July 1985.	86/21	Albert CORHAY, Gabriel A. BAVAVINI and Pierre A. MICHEL	"Risk-premia seasonality in U.S. and European equity markets", February 1986.
86/06	Francesco GIAVAZZI, Jeff R. SZEEN and Charles A. VYPLOSZ	"The real exchange rate and the fiscal aspects of a natural resource discovery", Revised version: February 1986.	86/22	Albert CORHAY, Gabriel A. BAVAVINI and Pierre A. MICHEL	"Seasonality in the risk-return relationships: some international evidence", July 1986.
86/07	Douglas L. MacLACHLAN and Spyros MAKRIDAKIS	"Judgmental biases in sales forecasting", February 1986.	86/23	Arnoud DE MEYER	"An exploratory study on the integration of information systems in manufacturing", July 1986.
86/08	José de la TORRE and David H. NECKAR	"Forecasting political risks for international operations", Second Draft: March 3, 1986.	86/24	David GAUTSCHI and Vithala R. RAO	"A methodology for specification and aggregation in product concept testing", July 1986.
86/09	Philippe C. RASPESLAGH	"Conceptualizing the strategic process in diversified firms: the role and nature of the corporate influence process", February 1986.	86/25	B. Peter GRAY and Ingo WALTER	"Protection", August 1986.
86/10	R. MOENART, Arnoud DE MEYER, J. BARBE and D. DESCHROOLMEESTER.	"Analysing the issues concerning technological de-maturity".	86/26	Barry EICHENGREEN and Charles VYPLOSZ	"The economic consequences of the Franc Poloaire", September 1986.
86/11	Philippe A. NAERT and Alain BULTEZ	"From 'Lydiometry' to 'Pinkhamization': misspecifying advertising dynamics rarely affects profitability".	86/27	Karel COOL and Ingemar DIERICKX	"Negative risk-return relationships in business strategy: paradox or truism?", October 1986.
86/12	Roger BETANCOURT and David GAUTSCHI	"The economics of retail firms", Revised April 1986.	86/28	Manfred KETS DE VRIES and Danny MILLER	"Interpreting organizational texts".
86/13	S.P. ANDERSON and Damien J. NEVEN	"Spatial competition à la Cournot".	86/29	Manfred KETS DE VRIES	"Why follow the leader?".
86/14	Charles VALDMAN	"Comparaison internationale des marges brutes du commerce", June 1985.	86/30	Manfred KETS DE VRIES	"The succession game: the real story.
86/15	Mihkel TOMBAK and Arnoud DE MEYER	"How the managerial attitudes of firms with FMS differ from other manufacturing firms: survey results". June 1986.	86/31	Arnoud DE MEYER	"Flexibility: the next competitive battle", October 1986.
			86/31	Arnoud DE MEYER, Junichiro NAKANE, Jeffrey G. MILLER and Kasra FERDOVS	"Flexibility: the next competitive battle", Revised Version: March 1987
			86/32	Karel COOL and Dan SCHENDEL	Performance differences among strategic group members", October 1986.

- | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|-------|---|---|
| 86/33 | Ernst BALTENSPERGER and Jean DERMINE | "The role of public policy in insuring financial stability: a cross-country, comparative perspective", August 1986, Revised November 1986. | 87/06 | Arun K. JAIN, Christian PINSON and Naresh K. MALHOTRA | "Customer loyalty as a construct in the marketing of banking services", July 1986. |
| 86/34 | Philippe RASPESLAGH and David JENISON | "Acquisitions: myths and reality", July 1986. | 87/07 | Rolf BANZ and Gabriel HAVAVINI | "Equity pricing and stock market anomalies", February 1987. |
| 86/35 | Jean DERMINE | "Measuring the market value of a bank, a primer", November 1986. | 87/08 | Manfred KETS DE VRIES | "Leaders who can't manage", February 1987. |
| 86/36 | Albert CORRAY and Gabriel HAVAVINI | "Seasonality in the risk-return relationships: some international evidence", July 1986. | 87/09 | Lister VICKERY, Mark PILKINGTON and Paul READ | "Entrepreneurial activities of European MBAs", March 1987. |
| 86/37 | David GAUTSCHI and Roger BETANCOURT | "The evolution of retailing: a suggested economic interpretation". | 87/10 | André LAURENT | "A cultural view of organizational change", March 1987. |
| 86/38 | Gabriel HAVAVINI | "Financial innovation and recent developments in the French capital markets", Updated: September 1986. | 87/11 | Robert FILDES and Spyros MAKRIDAKIS | "Forecasting and loss functions", March 1987. |
| 86/39 | Gabriel HAVAVINI, Pierre MICHEL and Albert CORRAY | "The pricing of common stocks on the Brussels stock exchange: a re-examination of the evidence", November 1986. | 87/12 | Fernando BARTOLOME and André LAURENT | "The Janus Head: learning from the superior and subordinate faces of the manager's job", April 1987. |
| 86/40 | Charles VYPLOSZ | "Capital flows liberalization and the EMS, a French perspective", December 1986. | 87/13 | Sumantra GHOSHAL and Nitin NOHRIA | "Multinational corporations as differentiated networks", April 1987. |
| 86/41 | Kasra FERDOVS and Vickham SKINNER | "Manufacturing in a new perspective", July 1986. | 87/14 | Landis GABEL | "Product Standards and Competitive Strategy: An Analysis of the Principles", May 1987. |
| 86/42 | Kasra FERDOVS and Per LINDBERG | "EMS as indicator of manufacturing strategy", December 1986. | 87/15 | Spyros MAKRIDAKIS | "METAFORCASTING: Ways of Improving Forecasting. Accuracy and Usefulness", May 1987. |
| 86/43 | Damien NEVEN | "On the existence of equilibrium in hotelling's model", November 1986. | 87/16 | Susan SCHNEIDER and Roger DUNBAR | "Takeover attempts: what does the language tell us?", June 1987. |
| 86/44 | Ingemar DIERICKX, Carmen MATUTES and Damien NEVEN | "Value added tax and competition", December 1986. | 87/17 | André LAURENT and Fernando BARTOLOME | "Managers' cognitive maps for upward and downward relationships", June 1987. |
| <u>1987</u> | | | 87/18 | Reinhard ANGELMAR and Christoph LIEBSCHER | "Patents and the European biotechnology lag: a study of large European pharmaceutical firms", June 1987. |
| 87/01 | Manfred KETS DE VRIES | "Prisoners of leadership". | 87/19 | David BEGG and Charles VYPLOSZ | "Why the EMS? Dynamic games and the equilibrium policy regime", May 1987. |
| 87/02 | Claude VIALLET | "An empirical investigation of international asset pricing", November 1986. | 87/20 | Spyros MAKRIDAKIS | "A new approach to statistical forecasting", June 1987. |
| 87/03 | David GAUTSCHI and Vithala RAO | "A methodology for specification and aggregation in product concept testing", Revised Version: January 1987. | 87/21 | Susan SCHNEIDER | "Strategy formulation: the impact of national culture", Revised: July 1987. |
| 87/04 | Sumantra GHOSHAL and Christopher BARTLETT | "Organizing for innovations: case of the multinational corporation", February 1987. | 87/22 | Susan SCHNEIDER | "Conflicting ideologies: structural and motivational consequences", August 1987. |
| 87/05 | Arnoud DE MEYER and Kasra FERDOVS | "Managerial focal points in manufacturing strategy", February 1987. | 87/23 | Roger BETANCOURT and David GAUTSCHI | "The demand for retail products and the household production model: new views on complementarity and substitutability". |

87/24	C.B. DERR and André LAURENT	"The internal and external careers: a theoretical and cross-cultural perspective", Spring 1987.	87/41	Gavriel HAVAVINI and Claude VIALLET	"Seasonality, size premium and the relationship between the risk and the return of French common stocks", November 1987
87/25	A. K. JAIN, N. K. MALHOTRA and Christian PINSON	"The robustness of MDS configurations in the face of incomplete data", March 1987, Revised: July 1987.	87/42	Damien NEVEN and Jacques-P. THISSE	"Combining horizontal and vertical differentiation: the principle of max-min differentiation", December 1987
87/26	Roger BETANCOURT and David GAUTSCHI	"Demand complementarities, household production and retail assortments", July 1987.	87/43	Jean GABSZEVICZ and Jacques-F. THISSE	"Location", December 1987
87/27	Michael BURDA	"Is there a capital shortage in Europe?", August 1987.	87/44	Jonathan HAMILTON, Jacques-P. THISSE and Anita VESKAMP	"Spatial discrimination: Bertrand vs. Cournot in a model of location choice", December 1987
87/28	Gabriel HAVAVINI	"Controlling the interest-rate risk of bonds: an introduction to duration analysis and immunization strategies", September 1987.	87/45	Karel COOL, David JEMISON and Ingemar DIERICKX	"Business strategy, market structure and risk- return relationships: a causal interpretation", December 1987.
87/29	Susan SCHNEIDER and Paul SHRIVASTAVA	"Interpreting strategic behavior: basic assumptions themes in organizations", September 1987	87/46	Ingemar DIERICKX and Karel COOL	"Asset stock accumulation and sustainability of competitive advantage", December 1987.
87/30	Jonathan HAMILTON V. Bentley MACLEOD and J. P. THISSE	"Spatial competition and the Core", August 1987.	<u>1988</u>		
87/31	Martine QUINZII and J. P. THISSE	"On the optimality of central places", September 1987.	88/01	Michael LAVRENCE and Spyros MAKRIDAKIS	"Factors affecting judgemental forecasts and confidence intervals", January 1988.
87/32	Arnoud DE MEYER	"German, French and British manufacturing strategies less different than one thinks", September 1987.	88/02	Spyros MAKRIDAKIS	"Predicting recessions and other turning points", January 1988.
87/33	Yves DOZ and Amy SHUEN	"A process framework for analyzing cooperation between firms", September 1987.	88/03	James TEBOUL	"De-industrialize service for quality", January 1988.
87/34	Kasra FERDOVS and Arnoud DE MEYER	"European manufacturers: the dangers of complacency. Insights from the 1987 European manufacturing futures survey, October 1987.	88/04	Susan SCHNEIDER	"National vs. corporate culture: implications for human resource management", January 1988.
87/35	P. J. LEDERER and J. P. THISSE	"Competitive location on networks under discriminatory pricing", September 1987.	88/05	Charles VYPLOSZ	"The swinging dollar: is Europe out of step?", January 1988.
87/36	Manfred KETS DE VRIES	"Prisoners of leadership", Revised version October 1987.	88/06	Reinhard ANGELMAR	"Les conflits dans les canaux de distribution", January 1988.
87/37	Landis GABEL	"Privatization: its motives and likely consequences", October 1987.	88/07	Ingemar DIERICKX and Karel COOL	"Competitive advantage: a resource based perspective", January 1988.
87/38	Susan SCHNEIDER	"Strategy formulation: the impact of national culture", October 1987.	88/08	Reinhard ANGELMAR and Susan SCHNEIDER	"Issues in the study of organizational cognition", February 1988.
87/39	Manfred KETS DE VRIES 1987	"The dark side of CEO succession", November 1987	88/09	Bernard SINCLAIR- DESCAGNÉ	"Price formation and product design through bidding", February 1988.
87/40	Carmen MATUTES and Pierre REGIBEAU	"Product compatibility and the scope of entry", November 1987	88/10	Bernard SINCLAIR- DESCAGNÉ	"The robustness of some standard auction game forms", February 1988.
			88/11	Bernard SINCLAIR- DESCAGNÉ	"When stationary strategies are equilibrium bidding strategy: The single-crossing property", February 1988.

88/12	Spyros MAKRIDAKIS	"Business firms and managers in the 21st century", February 1988	88/29	Nareesh K. MALHOTRA, Christian PINSON and Arun K. JAIN	"Consumer cognitive complexity and the dimensionality of multidimensional scaling configurations", May 1988.
88/13	Manfred KETS DE VRIES	"Alexithymia in organizational life: the organization man revisited", February 1988.	88/30	Catherine C. ECKEL and Theo VERMAELEN	"The financial fallout from Chernobyl: risk perceptions and regulatory response", May 1988.
88/14	Alain NOEL	"The interpretation of strategies: a study of the impact of CEOs on the corporation", March 1988.	88/31	Sumantra GHOSHAL and Christopher BARTLETT	"Creation, adoption, and diffusion of innovations by subsidiaries of multinational corporations", June 1988.
88/15	Anil DEOLALIKAR and Lars-Hendrik RÖLLER	"The production of and returns from industrial innovations: an econometric analysis for a developing country", December 1987.	88/32	Kasra FERDOVS and David SACKRIDER	"International manufacturing: positioning plants for success", June 1988.
88/16	Gabriel HAVAVINI	"Market efficiency and equity pricing: international evidence and implications for global investing", March 1988.	88/33	Mihkel M. TOMBAK	"The importance of flexibility in manufacturing", June 1988.
88/17	Michael BURDA	"Monopolistic competition, costs of adjustment and the behavior of European employment", September 1987.	88/34	Mihkel M. TOMBAK	"Flexibility: an important dimension in manufacturing", June 1988.
88/18	Michael BURDA	"Reflections on 'Wait Unemployment' in Europe", November 1987, revised February 1988.	88/35	Mihkel M. TOMBAK	"A strategic analysis of investment in flexible manufacturing systems", July 1988.
88/19	M.J. LAVRENCE and Spyros MAKRIDAKIS	"Individual bias in judgements of confidence", March 1988.	88/36	Vikas TIBREVALA and Bruce BUCHANAN	"A Predictive Test of the MSD Model that Controls for Non-stationarity", June 1988.
88/20	Jean DERMINE, Damien NEVEN and J.F. THIISSE	"Portfolio selection by mutual funds, an equilibrium model", March 1988.	88/37	Murugappa KRISHNAN Lars-Hendrik RÖLLER	"Regulating Price-Liability Competition To Improve Welfare", July 1988.
88/21	James TEBOUL	"De-industrialize service for quality", March 1988 (88/03 Revised).	88/38	Manfred KETS DE VRIES	"The Motivating Role of Envy : A Forgotten Factor in Management, April 88.
88/22	Lars-Hendrik RÖLLER	"Proper Quadratic Functions with an Application to AT&T", May 1987 (Revised March 1988).	88/39	Manfred KETS DE VRIES	"The Leader as Mirror : Clinical Reflections", July 1988.
88/23	Sjur Didrik FLAM and Georges ZACCOUR	"Equilibres de Nash-Cournot dans le marché européen du gaz: un cas où les solutions en boucle ouverte et en feedback coïncident", Mars 1988	88/40	Josef LAKONISNIK and Theo VERMAELEN	"Anomalous price behavior around repurchase tender offers", August 1988.
88/24	B. Espen ECKBO and Hervig LANGOHR	"Information disclosure, means of payment, and takeover premia. Public and Private tender offers in France", July 1985, Sixth revision, April 1988.	88/41	Charles VYPOLOSZ	"Assymetry in the EMS: intentional or systemic?", August 1988.
88/25	Everette S. GARDNER and Spyros MAKRIDAKIS	"The future of forecasting", April 1988.	88/42	Paul EVANS	"Organizational development in the transnational enterprise", June 1988.
88/26	Sjur Didrik FLAM and Georges ZACCOUR	"Semi-competitive Cournot equilibrium in multistage oligopolies", April 1988.	88/43	B. SINCLAIR-DESGAGNE	"Group decision support systems implement Bayesian rationality", September 1988.
88/27	Murugappa KRISHNAN Lars-Hendrik RÖLLER	"Entry game with resalable capacity", April 1988.	88/44	Essam MAHMOUD and Spyros MAKRIDAKIS	"The state of the art and future directions in combining forecasts", September 1988.
88/28	Sumantra GHOSHAL and C. A. BARTLETT	"The multinational corporation as a network: perspectives from interorganizational theory", May 1988	88/45	Robert KORAJCZYK and Claude VIALLET	"An empirical investigation of international asset pricing", November 1986, revised August 1988.
			88/46	Yves DOZ and Amy SHUEN	"From intent to outcome: a process framework for partnerships", August 1988.

88/47	Alain BULTEZ, Els GIJSBRECHTS, Philippe NAERT and Piet VANDEN ABEELE	"Asymmetric cannibalism between substitute items listed by retailers", September 1988.	88/63	Fernando NASCIMENTO and Wilfried R. VANHONACKER	"Strategic pricing of differentiated consumer durables in a dynamic duopoly: a numerical analysis", October 1988.
88/48	Michael BURDA	"Reflections on 'Wait unemployment' in Europe, II", April 1988 revised September 1988.	88/64	Kasra FERDOVS	"Charting strategic roles for international factories", December 1988.
88/49	Nathalie DIERKENS	"Information asymmetry and equity issues", September 1988.	88/65	Arnoud DE MEYER and Kasra FERDOVS	"Quality up, technology down", October 1988.
88/50	Rob WEITZ and Arnoud DE MEYER	"Managing expert systems: from inception through updating", October 1987.	88/66	Nathalie DIERKENS	"A discussion of exact measures of information asymmetry: the example of Myers and Majluf model or the importance of the asset structure of the firm", December 1988.
88/51	Rob WEITZ	"Technology, work, and the organization: the impact of expert systems", July 1988.	88/67	Paul S. ADLER and Kasra FERDOVS	"The chief technology officer", December 1988.
88/52	Susan SCHNEIDER and Reinhard ANGELMAR	"Cognition and organizational analysis: who's minding the store?", September 1988.	<u>1989</u>		
88/53	Manfred KETS DE VRIES	"Whatever happened to the philosopher-king: the leader's addiction to power, September 1988.	89/01	Joyce K. BYRER and Tavfik JELASSI	"The impact of language theories on DSS dialog", January 1989.
88/54	Lars-Hendrik RÖLLER and Mihkel M. TOMBAK	"Strategic choice of flexible production technologies and welfare implications", October 1988	89/02	Louis A. LE BLANC and Tavfik JELASSI	"DSS software selection: a multiple criteria decision methodology", January 1989.
88/55	Peter BOSSAERTS and Pierre HILLION	"Method of moments tests of contingent claims asset pricing models", October 1988.	89/03	Beth B. JONES and Tavfik JELASSI	"Negotiation support: the effects of computer intervention and conflict level on bargaining outcome", January 1989.
88/56	Pierre HILLION	"Size-sorted portfolios and the violation of the random walk hypothesis: Additional empirical evidence and implication for tests of asset pricing models", June 1988.	89/04	Kasra FERDOVS and Arnoud DE MEYER	"Lasting improvement in manufacturing performance: In search of a new theory", January 1989.
88/57	Wilfried VANHONACKER and Lydia PRICE	"Data transferability: estimating the response effect of future events based on historical analogy", October 1988.	89/05	Martin KILDUFF and Reinhard ANGELMAR	"Shared history or shared culture? The effects of time, culture, and performance on institutionalization in simulated organizations", January 1989.
88/58	B. SINCLAIR-DESGAGNE and Mihkel M. TOMBAK	"Assessing economic inequality", November 1988.	89/06	Mihkel M. TOMBAK and B. SINCLAIR-DESGAGNE	"Coordinating manufacturing and business strategies: I", February 1989.
88/59	Martin KILDUFF	"The interpersonal structure of decision making: a social comparison approach to organizational choice", November 1988.	89/07	Damien J. NEVEN	"Structural adjustment in European retail banking. Some view from industrial organisation", January 1989.
88/60	Michael BURDA	"Is mismatch really the problem? Some estimates of the Chelwood Gate II model with US data", September 1988.	89/08	Arnoud DE MEYER and Hellmut SCHÜTTE	"Trends in the development of technology and their effects on the production structure in the European Community", January 1989.
88/61	Lars-Hendrik RÖLLER	"Modelling cost structure: the Bell System revisited", November 1988.	89/09	Damien NEVEN, Carmen MATUTES and Marcel CORSTJENS	"Brand proliferation and entry deterrence", February 1989.
88/62	Cynthia VAN HULLE, Theo VERMAELEN and Paul DE VOUTERS	"Regulation, taxes and the market for corporate control in Belgium", September 1988.	89/10	Nathalie DIERKENS, Bruno GERARD and Pierre HILLION	"A market based approach to the valuation of the assets in place and the growth opportunities of the firm", December 1988.

89/11	Manfred KETS DE VRIES and Alain NOEL	"Understanding the leader-strategy interface: application of the strategic relationship interview method", February 1989.	89/27	David KRACKHARDT and Martin KILDUFF	"Friendship patterns and cultural attributions: the control of organizational diversity", April 1989
89/12	Vilfried VANHONACKER	"Estimating dynamic response models when the data are subject to different temporal aggregation", January 1989.	89/28	Martin KILDUFF	"The interpersonal structure of decision making: a social comparison approach to organizational choice", Revised April 1989
89/13	Manfred KETS DE VRIES	"The impostor syndrome: a disquieting phenomenon in organizational life", February 1989.	89/29	Robert GOGEL and Jean-Claude LARRECHE	"The battlefield for 1992: product strength and geographic coverage", May 1989
89/14	Reinhard ANGELMAR	"Product innovation: a tool for competitive advantage", March 1989.	89/30	Lars-Hendrik ROLLER and Mihkel M. TOMBAK	"Competition and Investment in Flexible Technologies", May 1989
89/15	Reinhard ANGELMAR	"Evaluating a firm's product innovation performance", March 1989.	89/31	Michael C. BURDA and Stefan GERLACH	"Durables and the US Trade Deficit", May 1989
89/16	Vilfried VANHONACKER, Donald LEHMANN and Fareena SULTAN	"Combining related and sparse data in linear regression models", February 1989.	89/32	Peter BAUG and Tavfik JELASSI	"Application and evaluation of a multi-criteria decision support system for the dynamic selection of U.S. manufacturing locations", May 1989
89/17	Gilles AMADO, Claude FAUCHEUX and André LAURENT	"Changement organisationnel et réalités culturelles: contrastes franco-américains", March 1989.	89/33	Bernard SINCLAIR-DESGAGNE	"Design flexibility in monopsonistic industries", May 1989
89/18	Srinivasan BALAKRISHNAN and Mitchell KOZA	"Information asymmetry, market failure and joint-ventures: theory and evidence", March 1989	89/34	Sumantra GHOSHAL and Nittin MOHRIA	"Requisite variety versus shared values: managing corporate-division relationships in the M-Form organisation", May 1989
89/19	Vilfried VANHONACKER, Donald LEHMANN and Fareena SULTAN	"Combining related and sparse data in linear regression models", Revised March 1989	89/35	Jean DERMINE and Pierre BILLION	"Deposit rate ceilings and the market value of banks: The case of France 1971-1981", May 1989
89/20	Vilfried VANHONACKER and Russell VINER	"A rational random behavior model of choice", Revised March 1989	89/36	Martin KILDUFF	"A dispositional approach to social networks: the case of organizational choice", May 1989
89/21	Arnoud de MEYER and Ksra FERDOVS	"Influence of manufacturing improvement programmes on performance", April 1989	89/37	Manfred KETS DE VRIES	"The organizational fool: balancing a leader's hubris", May 1989
89/22	Manfred KETS DE VRIES and Sydney PERZOV	"What is the role of character in psychoanalysis?", April 1989	89/38	Manfred KETS DE VRIES	"The CEO blues", June 1989
89/23	Robert KORAJCZYK and Claude VIALLET	"Equity risk premia and the pricing of foreign exchange risk" April 1989	89/39	Robert KORAJCZYK and Claude VIALLET	"An empirical investigation of international asset pricing", (Revised June 1989)
89/24	Martin KILDUFF and Mitchell ABOLAFIA	"The social destruction of reality: Organisational conflict as social drama" April 1989	89/40	Balaji CHAKRAVARTHY	"Management systems for innovation and productivity", June 1989
89/25	Roger BETANCOURT and David GAUTSCHI	"Two essential characteristics of retail markets and their economic consequences" March 1989	89/41	B. SINCLAIR-DESGAGNE and Nathalie DIERKENS	"The strategic supply of precisions", June 1989
89/26	Charles BEAN, Edmond MALINVAUD, Peter BERNHOLZ, Francesco CIAVAZZI and Charles VYFLOSZ	"Macroeconomic policies for 1992: the transition and after", April 1989	89/42	Robert ANSON and Tavfik JELASSI	"A development framework for computer-supported conflict resolution", July 1989
			89/43	Michael BURDA	"A note on firing costs and severance benefits in equilibrium unemployment", June 1989
			89/44	Balaji CHAKRAVARTHY and Peter LORANGE	"Strategic adaptation in multi-business firms", June 1989
			89/45	Rob WEITZ and Arnoud DE MEYER	"Managing expert systems: a framework and case study", June 1989

89/46	Marcel CORSTJENS, Carmen MATUTES and Damien NEVEN	"Entry Encouragement", July 1989	89/64	Enver YUCESAN and (TM) Lee SCHRUBEN	"Complexity of simulation models: A graph theoretic approach", November 1989
89/47	Manfred KETS DE VRIES and Christine MEAD	"The global dimension in leadership and organization: issues and controversies", April 1989	89/65	Soumitra DUTTA and (TM, Piero BONISSONE AC, FIN)	"MARS: A mergers and acquisitions reasoning system", November 1989
89/48	Damien NEVEN and Lars-Hendrik ROLLER	"European integration and trade flows", August 1989	89/66	B. SINCLAIR-DESGAGNE (TM,EP)	"On the regulation of procurement bids", November 1989
89/49	Jean DERMINE	"Home country control and mutual recognition", July 1989	89/67	Peter BOSSAERTS and (FIN) Pierre HILLION	"Market microstructure effects of government intervention in the foreign exchange market", December 1989
89/50	Jean DERMINE	"The specialization of financial institutions, the EEC model", August 1989			
89/51	Spyros MAKRIDAKIS	"Sliding simulation: a new approach to time series forecasting", July 1989			
89/52	Arnoud DE MEYER	"Shortening development cycle times: a manufacturer's perspective", August 1989			
89/53	Spyros MAKRIDAKIS	"Why combining works?", July 1989			
89/54	S. BALAKRISHNAN and Mitchell KOZA	"Organisation costs and a theory of joint ventures", September 1989			
89/55	H. SCHUTTE	"Euro-Japanese cooperation in information technology", September 1989			
89/56	Vilfried VANEONACKER and Lydia PRICE	"On the practical usefulness of meta-analysis results", September 1989			
89/57	Taekvon KIM, Lars-Hendrik ROLLER and Mihkel TOMBAK	"Market growth and the diffusion of multiproduct technologies", September 1989			
89/58	Lars-Hendrik ROLLER (EP,TM) and Mihkel TOMBAK	"Strategic aspects of flexible production technologies", October 1989			
89/59	Manfred KETS DE VRIES, (OB) Daphna ZEVADI, Alain NOEL and Mihkel TOMBAK	"Locus of control and entrepreneurship: a three-country comparative study", October 1989			
89/60	Enver YUCESAN and (TM) Lee SCHRUBEN	"Simulation graphs for design and analysis of discrete event simulation models", October 1989			
89/61	Susan SCHNEIDER and (All) Arnoud DE MEYER	"Interpreting and responding to strategic issues: The impact of national culture", October 1989			
89/62	Arnoud DE MEYER (TM)	"Technology strategy and international R & D operations", October 1989			
89/63	Enver YUCESAN and (TM) Lee SCHRUBEN	"Equivalence of simulations: A graph theoretic approach", November 1989			

1990

- 90/01/TH B. SINCLAIR-DESGAGNE "Unavoidable Mechanisms", January 1990
EP/AC
- 90/02/EP Michael BURDA "Monopolistic Competition, Costs of Adjustment, and the Behaviour of European Manufacturing Employment", January 1990
- 90/03/TH Arnoud DE MEYER "Management of Communication in International Research and Development", January 1990
- 90/04/ Gabriel HAWAWINI and "The Transformation of the European Financial
FIN/EP Eric RAJENDRA Services Industry: From Fragmentation to Integration", January 1990
- 90/05/ Gabriel HAWAWINI and "European Equity Markets: Toward 1992 and
FIN/EP Bertrand JACQUILLAT Beyond", January 1990
- 90/06/ Gabriel HAWAWINI and "Integration of European Equity Markets:
FIN/EP Eric RAJENDRA Implications of Structural Change for Key Market Participants to and Beyond 1992", January 1990